

REMARKS**RCE**

In response to the finality of the action, Applicant files a request for continuing examination and appropriate fee to permit entry of the amendment and further consideration of the arguments and amendments.

Drawings

Applicant submits replacement drawings with this response. The drawings submitted are from the original PCT application and they do not introduce new matter. The term "loft "is the technical term for thickness and the term "loft" has been replaced with the word "thickness" in the claims. Thickness is inherent in the web shown and for this reason no changes have been introduced into the drawings. The submission of the drawings and cancelation of "loft" addresses in part the section 112 issues raised by the Examiner.

IDS

Applicant submits a supplemental IDS disclosing additional material from foreign prosecution of the application.

Pending Claims

Claims 1-5 and 7-25 are pending in the case with entry of this amendment.

Discussion of the Examiner's rejections

The Examiner regards Schmidt '525 as anticipating all of the claims. The Examiner also regards the claims as obvious in view of the Kaiser '161 reference. It is quite important to keep in mind the overall intent of a disclosure and have in mind the end product sought to be achieved by a process. In the present instance the mechanical properties of the final product inform the ranges and values taught by references and

one should not interpret a concentration in a final product as implying a concentration or process variable during manufacture. Recall that air laid webs and wet laid webs differ in many ways. Also consider that properties of products made by an air laid process reveal little about the process used to create them.

Perhaps the most important difference between the applicant invention as claimed and the applied art is the use of a diluted water-latex mixture containing 90 to 99% by weight of water and 10to 1% by weight latex. The claim amendments specifying the water and latex contents of the water-latex mixture and the mass of latex bound are intended to distinguish the claims from applied art. It is quite important to keep in mind that teachings in references that refer to the final composition of a completed web bear no necessary relationship to the concentrations and process steps that give rise to the final web.

CONCLUSION

All of the claims remaining in this application should now be seen to be in condition for allowance. The prompt issuance of a notice to that effect is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 23 December 2011

/Daniel A. Tysver/

Daniel A. Tysver
Registration No. 35,726
Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C.
2900 Thomas Ave., #100
Minneapolis, MN 55416
Telephone: (612) 915-9634
Fax: (612) 915-9637